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ABSTRACT

Dairy farm operations rely on a continuous supply of bedding material for cow comfort and hygiene. The re-use of liquid manure for this purpose has become possible after solid/liquid separation of the manure stream and 24 h processing of the separated solids through a tumbling drum composter. The finished bedding solids are reported to produce superior bedding to regular straw and the separated liquid stream can be retained as crop fertilizer. Off-farm export as bedding is only possible if the material is stable to prevent re-heating if bagged or piled. The nutrient value of the retained liquid and the quality of solids for export were investigated on a Canadian dairy farm by examining nitrogen and phosphorus distribution, as well as the self-heating potential of the composted solids. The effect of curing the solids for an additional 4 weeks during both summer and winter operations was evaluated. Results showed that the solids separation and 24 h drum composting process did not result in a compost that could be classified as mature and stable. However, further curing the solid product in ambient temperature piles for 4 weeks reduced compost re-heating from 26.2°C above ambient to 7.7°C (winter curing) and 3.8°C (summer curing). Nitrogen and phosphorus analysis revealed little difference between the liquid stream (post solid separation) and the incoming raw manure on a wet weight basis. The use of either of these products as plant fertilizer is similar and solid separation does not impact the agronomic value of the liquid manure.
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RÉSUMÉ

Les fermes laitières dépendent d’un approvisionnement continu de litière pour assurer le confort et l’hygiène du troupeau. La réutilisation du lisier à cette fin est devenue possible grâce à la séparation des solides et à leur traitement durant une période de 24 h dans un composteur à tambour rotatif. Le produit solide final est réputé être une litière supérieure à de la paille et la partie liquide extraite peut être utilisée dans les cultures comme fertilisant. Cette litière peut être exportée de la ferme seulement si le compost est stable pour prévenir qu’il ne chauffe pas une fois mis en sac ou en tas. La valeur fertilisante du liquide séparé et la qualité des solides pour l’exportation ont été étudiées sur une ferme laitière canadienne pour déterminer leurs contenus en azote et en phosphore ainsi que le potentiel de chauffe des solides compostés. L’effet d’un compostage additionnel de 4 semaines durant les périodes estivales et hivernales a été évalué. Les résultats ont montré que la séparation des solides et le processus de compostage par tambour rotatif ne produisaient pas un compost qui puisse être classé mature et stable. Toutefois, un compostage en piles à l’air ambiant des solides durant 4 semaines réduisait la chauffe de 26,2°C au-dessus de la température ambiante à 7,7°C (compostage hivernal) et 3,8°C (compostage estival). Les analyses d’azote et de phosphore sur une base humide ont révélé peu de différences entre la partie liquide (après la séparation) et le fumier entrant non séparé. L’utilisation de ces deux produits sur les cultures est semblable, et la séparation des solides n’a pas d’incidence sur la valeur fertilisante du lisier.
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INTRODUCTION

Dairy barns typically produce liquid manure that is stored in outdoor earth-bermed basins for seasonal land application. A current innovation is to separate the bulky solids (hay stalks and fibrous materials) from the liquid manure slurry with a bedding recovery unit (BRU), reuse the solids for bedding material and store the nutrient rich liquid stream in open-air earthen storage (Wu 2007; Liu et al. 2016). One such system operates by passing the raw manure through a screw press and passing the solids through a rotating tumbling drum for 24 hrs where solids heat up (>60°C) due to microbial activity and the consumption of easily digestible carbon compounds on the surface of the solids (Fig. 1). The result is a fibrous media that can be used as cow bedding and a separate liquid fertilizer stream that can be pumped more easily and applied to land as fertilizer. It is reasoned that the majority of the nutrients are soluble and thus collected in the liquid portion (e.g. soluble ammonium, nitrate, potassium and phosphate), although some solids separation methods retain a high percentage of the total phosphorus (TP) present in raw manure (Hjorth et al. 2010). Re-use of the solids as bedding reduces farm-operating costs because it eliminates the need for new bedding materials (Pelaez-Samaniego et al. 2017). Average savings from using manure solids as bedding on 5 farms in New York State ranged from 0.20 to $5.20/ton, averaging $37,000/yr per farm (Bonhotal et al. 2008). Somatic cell counts in milk have been shown to be similar to those in herds using traditional bedding materials (Husfeldt et al. 2012), suggesting no additional risk to cow health.

In theory, the solids produced from this system in excess of on-farm bedding needs can be exported for bedding to other farms or sold as a soil amendment, needing only to be bagged and transported. However, for dairy manure solids to be transported off-farm, the material must be stable and mature. Stability refers to a slowing of the rate of biological breakdown and maturity is an indication of positive agronomic effects on soil and plants (Wichuk and McCartney 2010). Tests for stability include evolution of carbon dioxide (CO₂), consumption of oxygen (O₂), and self-heating potential (Butler et al. 2001; Oviedo-Ocana et al. 2015); all indicators of the rate of active decomposition. Compost maturity is a more difficult measure and can include germination tests, ammonia/nitrate content and the carbon:nitrogen (C:N) ratio (Brewer and Sullivan 2003).

While C:N ratio is partially a measure of the constituents of compost, it has been used as a gauge of compost maturity. An optimum compost C:N ratio satisfies the protein requirement of the growing microbial community (nitrogen) as well as the energy requirement (carbon) for the breakdown of complex carbon into simple digestible forms. A good C:N ratio for an initial compost mix is 25-30 and a finished compost matches that of the soil organic matter (10 to 15, Wichuk and McCartney 2010), due to the consumption of carbon in the composting process (Torres-Climent et al. 2015). Some researchers have noted one third to one-half reduction in C:N ratio from initial to final compost (Brewer and Sullivan 2003), however C:N ratio can also be a reflection of the constituents within the compost and not their state of

![Fig. 1. Manure volumes and process for the Bedding Recovery Unit (BRU) to separate and utilize dairy manure solids.](image-url)
decomposition. Although change in C:N ratio over time may indicate carbon loss, ammonia loss over the same time may keep C:N ratios unchanging or even increase (Wichuk and McCartney 2010).

A solids content of 30 to 45% total solids (TS) is required for microbial communities to have adequate moisture for reproduction and yet excessive moisture results in anaerobic conditions, severely slowing the process and resulting in the production of unwanted gases (Orzi et al. 2010). Good composting conditions include adequate moisture, as reported by TS; aeration, often supplied by turning or a bulking agent; and time for the microbial processes to be completed (Wichuk and McCartney 2010).

Finally, for compost to be bagged and transported, or even piled and stored, it must be thermally stable. Reheating of biosolids after the composting process is an indication that there is an excess of easily digestible carbon in the material and more time is needed for it to become stable (Nelson et al. 2007; Oviedo-Ocana et al. 2015). The underlying concern with the reheating potential of biosolids is the ability for them to be stored safely without risk of ignition or the evolution of gases (Rynk 2000, Chung 2007). The BRU processes separated solids for approximately 24 hrs, during which time it reaches temperatures over 60°C, indicating a high level of microbial activity. However, most conventional compost systems maintain temperatures of >60°C for 3 days or longer and then undergo a finishing stage of storage for a month or more to produce compost with stabilized solids (Butler et al. 2001).

Stability of compost is difficult to determine but can be inferred by field tests such as pH, temperature, colour, and CO₂ production. These parameters can vary substantially, however, and be affected by environmental conditions and the original biomass composition, leading to false conclusions (Oviedo-Ocana et al. 2015). In the laboratory, the amount of potential biological activity in the composted material can be more accurately determined in three ways: 1) measuring the tendency for a compost to self-heat (self-heating test); 2) measuring the evolution of CO₂; and 3) measuring the rate of O₂ uptake. Standards have been developed in Canada by the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME), and in the United States by the Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) to determine compost stability based on measurement of these three variables. In this study, the self-heating test was used to indicate bedding material stability because it can be easily adopted by farm staff, does not require sophisticated equipment and provides the most reliable indication of stability (Butler et al. 2001). The CCME describes a compost as mature and stable if stored for six months or stored 21 days and does not reheat greater than 20°C above ambient temperature (CCME 1996).

Freshly tumbled solids were tested as well as solids piled outdoors for 4 weeks during both summer and winter seasons to determine thermal stability and re-heating potential. In this study, nutrient content of each manure stream was also investigated to determine the overall change in the liquid stream fertilizer value after solids extraction and the relative value of the solids as compost.
The study took place on a 500-cow dairy operation in Southern Manitoba, Canada. A newly modified manure handling system consisted of liquid manure conveyed from the barn to an in-floor concrete tank where it was pumped into a screw press that separated the liquid and solid streams. The solid stream was conveyed into the BRU drum dryer/composter, where it was tumbled for 24 hours. The liquid stream was collected in a separate tank before being pumped to outdoor earthen manure storage. Raw manure and the separated liquid were sampled with a 4-metre extension dipper. Both tanks had internal mixers that were activated for 5 min before triplicate samples were taken. Solids were collected as they exited the screw press and also after exiting the tumbler drum. These were well mixed in a container and sub-sampled in triplicate. Cured BRU processed solids (those stored for 4 weeks after BRU processing) were sampled from various depths and locations in the 3 m³ pile and a composite sample of at least ten, 500 ml samples were combined, mixed and subsampled for nutrient analysis and self-heating potential. Cured piles were stored in ambient outdoor temperatures for 4 weeks in February and again in July.

### Table 1. Temperature increase and hours of incubation for 4 weeks in February and again in July.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post BRU Treatment</th>
<th>Max temp increase (°C)</th>
<th>Hours to max temp</th>
<th>%TS</th>
<th>%VS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncured</td>
<td>26.2 (6.5)</td>
<td>99.6 (27.8)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>84.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter cured</td>
<td>7.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>59.0 (15.6)</td>
<td>4.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>77.1 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer cured</td>
<td>3.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>57.0 (76.9)</td>
<td>36.7 (3.1)</td>
<td>93.0 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep Solids Untumbled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.8 (2.7)</td>
<td>92.3 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep Solids Tumbled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Methods**

Double walled stainless-steel containers (dewars) holding 1.5 L were equipped with a min/max thermometer (Brinton et al. 1995), loosely packed with processed solids and operated without lids to ensure aerobic conditions (Fig. 2). The remote thermistor was secured to a plastic rod to ensure it was measuring the center of the material. The moisture content of collected solids was adjusted to 37% by adding distilled water if TS was 40% or above. Total weight of solids was adjusted to ensure equal density between replicate dewars. One measurement was taken daily of the maximum temperature inside as well as the ambient temperature outside the container. The treatment was conducted over a period of 4 to 12 days, terminating when the temperature inside the flask decreased and stabilized. These tests were conducted with replicates and repeated with different batches of solids for uncured tumbled solids and cured tumbled solids in both winter and summer curing conditions.

### Table 2. Total solids and volatile solids of manure components of the Bedding Recovery Unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%TS</th>
<th>%VS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw Manure</td>
<td>7.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>84.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Stream</td>
<td>4.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>77.1 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep Solids Untumbled</td>
<td>36.7 (3.1)</td>
<td>93.0 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep Solids Tumbled</td>
<td>37.8 (2.7)</td>
<td>92.3 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
using standard muffle furnace procedure for volatile solids. Jimenez and Garcia (1992) determined TOC as
54% of TOM by Equation 1.

$$\text{TOC} = 1.703 + 0.52\text{TOM} \quad (1)$$

This relationship was used to determine TOC in this study.

RESULTS
Stability of separated solids
The use of standardized insulated flasks for the self-heating tests gave more reliable results than the Styrofoam
boxes used in preliminary tests; however, high variability was still found between trials and sometimes between
dews of the same trial. Insulated solids always underwent self-heating, although the maximum temperature reached and the time taken to reach that temperature differed. In four trials and a total of 10
replicates, un-cured tumbled solids had a mean maximum self-heating of 26.2°C with a standard deviation (SD) of
6.5°C, taking an average of 99.6 hrs (SD 27.8 hrs) to reach it. The range was between 15.4 and 36.6°C (Fig. 3). Curing solids for 4 weeks in outdoor temperatures had a marked effect on maximum self-heating temperatures, increasing 7.7°C (SD 0.9°C) in winter and 3.8°C (SD 0.4°C) (Table 1). The time to reach the maximum temperature was also shorter with cured solids although a large variation did occur as is indicated by the standard deviation.

Total solids and volatile solids
Analysis of raw manure, liquid stream, solid stream and tumbled solids gave a general indication of TS and VS, showing natural variation in manure and equipment performance (Table 2). Sample replicates indicated low variance within sampling events but higher variance between events suggesting homogeneous conditions existed throughout each process but there was variance between events, probably due to machine adjustment, filter screen condition or seasonal changes in manure.

The average raw manure TS was 7.5%, with a range between sampling events of 7.1 and 8.4% TS. The screw press removed a large portion of high carbon solids, reducing VS in the manure from 84 to 77% in the liquid stream. Total solids in the liquid stream ranged from 3.7 to 6.3%, likely depending on the frequency of screen cleaning. The BRU received solids with 36.7% TS (ranging from 31.9 to 42.0%) and demonstrated a minimal drying effect during the 24-h processing time, producing finished bedding solids of 37.8% (ranging from 32.7 to 40.7%) TS.

Winter storage of solids in curing piles had a drying effect, raising total solids content from 36.7 to 40.6% in 4
weeks. The solids pile was cured in an unheated empty barn and the top layer was found to be frozen at each of
the sampling intervals due to air temperatures below -20°C, therefore freeze-drying was the likely cause of moisture loss. The open-air curing for 4 weeks in June resulted in TS of 66%. Solids were reconstituted to 38% TS by the addition of water before self-heating testing commenced.

Nutrient analysis
Nutrient analysis of the liquid, solid and raw manure fractions showed adequate levels of N, P, and K for use as
a fertilizer or compost (Table 3). The ammonium-N

| Table 3. Nutrient analysis of dairy manure, liquid and solid streams. Values are the mean of five sample events (mg/kg) with the standard deviation given in parentheses. |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | TNK              | NH₄             | NO₃             | TK               | Soluble K        | TP               | Soluble P        |
| Raw Manure       | 3225 (286)       | 1468 (94)       | 24 (2)          | 2425 (28)        | 2697 (96)        | 480 (52)         | 424 (43)         |
| Liquid Stream    | 2832 (151)       | 1505 (131)      | 21 (3)          | 2523 (105)       | 2675 (133)       | 443 (31)         | 373 (29)         |
| Sep Solids (Untumbled) | 6065 (39)     | 631 (27)        | 30 (2)          | 2167 (51)        | 1797 (138)       | 761 (19)         | 385 (131)        |
| Sep Solids (Tumbled) | 6275 (435)     | 930 (143)       | 26 (2)          | 2435 (90)        | 2209 (76)        | 818 (87)         | 495 (83)         |

| Table 4. Plant available macronutrients in raw manure, separated liquid and separated solids. Included are deal nutrient ratios of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) for growing wheat (normalized for P) and the ratios for those nutrients in each manure stream. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Plant available N kg/tonne | TP as P₂O₅ kg/tonne | TK as K₂O kg/tonne |
| Raw Manure | 1.9 | 1.1 | 2.9 |
| Liquid Stream | 1.8 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| Separated Solids | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 |

| Ideal nutrient ratio for winter wheat² | Nutrient content normalized for P₂O₅ |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Raw Manure | 1.7 | 1 | 2.6 |
| Liquid Stream | 1.8 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Separated Solids | 1.2 | 1 | 1.5 |

² FAO 2000
transferred predominately into the liquid stream but total N was marginally lower in the liquid due to a portion of organic N associated with fibrous material, which was removed with the solid stream. Total and soluble P and K concentrations in the liquid were approximately the same as the raw manure. In terms of ratios of N to P, there was a slight concentration of P due to the reduction in N (6.6 mg/L in raw manure and 6.4 mg/L in the liquid stream).

The nutrient content (fertilizer value) of the raw manure, liquid stream and separated solids indicated that they were similar on a weight basis (Table 4). Plant available N ranged from 1.8 to 2.3 kg/t (wet weight) for the manure components tested. Available N was calculated as NH₄ plus available organic N (TKN minus NH₄), assuming a 25% organic N availability in the first year. Plant available P ranged between 1 and 1.9 kg/tonne (wet weight) and was determined by conversion of TP to P₂O₅ (TP/0.43) with an assumption of 100% plant availability. By these calculations, all manure streams (raw, liquid stream and separated solids) were low in nitrogen relative to the phosphorus content for most agricultural crops (FAO 2000). For example, winter wheat requires a ratio of 2.5: 1: 2.3 (N:P:K) and normalizing for P shows N was low in each manure stream, but that potassium was adequate in raw manure and the liquid stream (Table 4).

Calculation of the C:N ratios for the separated solids gave a ratio of 32.4 for the raw manure solids and 31.7 after they passed through the BRU. This slight decrease in C:N ratio of solids from the screw press to those tumbled in the BRU indicates little loss of carbon during tumbling, or conversely, a commensurate loss of N along with the carbon loss. This ratio is within the range reported by others studying dairy manure solids (22 to 38 by Husfeldt et al. (2012)). No nutrient data were collected on cured solids.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

This study assessed the value of dairy manure product streams after separation and treatment in a bedding recovery unit. Value was determined by nutrient content and the maturity of compost as tested by thermal stability. Analysis of nutrient content of the liquid and raw manure streams found little difference between the two on a wet weight basis, thus the use of either of these products as fertilizer is practically the same and solid separation would not impact the value of the liquid manure. The analysis indicates that separating the liquid stream did not concentrate nitrogen compared with the raw manure. The separated solid stream, however, had 25% higher concentration of plant available nitrogen and 80% more TP than the liquid stream or raw manure, so the screw press tends to concentrate both N and TP. Raw manure, solids or the liquid stream could each be used to fertilize a crop given the P and K they contain, however all manure streams would need additional nitrogen amendment for most crop requirements.

Separated solids that were processed for 24 hrs in the BRU were not thermally stable and could not be considered mature compost due to the high temperatures reached during the re-heating tests. However, the self-heating potential was greatly reduced by curing solids in piles for 4 weeks. Following the CCME guidelines, the solids cured during the winter would be considered stable and definitely stable for those cured for 4 weeks in the summer. It is likely that microbial communities were able to consume easily-digestible carbon compounds in the solids while curing, leaving the more resistant cellulose structures which cannot support fast-growing populations and produce much less heat. The bagging and export of cured solids is therefore possible, but additional research is needed to find optimum curing times in both winter and summer.

The self-heating procedure as an indicator of stable material used in this research was found to be highly variable between batches of solids and even within replicate dewars of the same batch. Due to the high variability in results, it is recommended that five replicates of a batch of compost be conducted.
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